

Fall 2022 Completion of Internship/Clinical Practice Impact on Student Learning (ISL)										
	Elem Campus	Elem Hinds	English	MAT (S2)	Math	Music	Sci (Bio/Chem)	Social Studies	Physical Education	Grand Total
	N=14	N=6	N=0	N=7	N=1	N=3	N=0	N=0	N=1	
1.1 The teacher candidate (TC) discusses the following information about the community and school: Geographic location; Community/school population; Socio-economic status; and Type of school and other pertinent characteristics) CAEP R1.1; InTASC 2; TGR 7	2.43	2.83	N/A	2.54	3.00	3.00	N/A	N/A	3.00	2.62
1.2 The teacher candidate (TC) describes classroom factors including physical features, technology resources, parental/guardian involvement, and grouping practices (whole group, small group, pairs, etc.) CAEP R1.1, R1.3; InTASC 3; TGR 7	2.79	3.00	N/A	2.54	3.00	3.00	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.79
1.3 teacher candidate (TC) describes each of the following student characteristics that impact students and the learning environment including grade/age level, gender, race/ethnicity/ culture, special needs, achievement levels, language, interests, and learning differences. CAEP R1.1; InTASC1; TGR 2	2.71	2.92	N/A	2.14	3.00	2.83	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.64
2.1 The teacher candidate (TC) identifies MCCRS/s that correlate with the unit or group of lessons topic and overall unit purposes/goals and describes and justifies the lesson plans learning purposes/goals. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 7; TGR 1	2.86	2.25	N/A	2.82	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.66
2.2 Daily objectives, aligned with MCCRS, connect to the real world and are appropriate for the students' development, prerequisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and/or other needs of students as indicated in the Contextual Factors. CAEP R1.1, R1.3; InTASC 1; TGR 2	2.71	2.67	N/A	2.54	3.00	1.83	N/A	N/A	2.00	2.57

3.1 teacher candidate (TC) provides an Assessment Plan Overview Table that includes varying daily assessments with Bloom's/DOK levels that match objectives and includes accommodations/modifications based on individual needs of student or contextual factors. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.75	2.75	N/A	2.54	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.00	<b>2.63</b>
3.2 Pre-Assessment and Summative Assessment The teacher candidate (TC) provides descriptions of the pre- and post-assessments, noting when assessments will be administered, and criteria used to establish mastery. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.75	2.17	N/A	2.54	3.00	1.83	N/A	N/A	1.50	<b>2.48</b>
3.3 The teacher candidate (TC) describes the use of multiple methods and approaches for assessing student learning and provides a rationale for each assessment and an explanation of progress monitoring. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.71	2.50	N/A	2.07	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.00	<b>2.47</b>
3.4 teacher candidate (TC) provides an assessment data table that documents individual performance on a pre-assessment, 1-2 formative assessments, and a summative assessments. Mastery criteria for each assessment is included for all students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.75	2.58	N/A	2.79	3.00	2.50	N/A	N/A	2.50	<b>2.70</b>
3.5 teacher candidate (TC) describes a plan for communicating assessment expectations, results, and descriptive feedback that is timely and effective to all students. Plan submitted includes a method for learners to monitor their own progression through the unit. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.79	2.42	N/A	2.79	3.00	2.33	N/A	N/A	2.50	<b>2.67</b>
4.1 teacher candidate (TC) analyzes pre-assessment data to determine accommodations /modifications to instruction with descriptions of the accommodations/modifications for the whole group, subgroups of students, or for individual students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 7; TGR 2	2.50	2.42	N/A	2.29	3.00	2.50	N/A	N/A	1.50	<b>2.42</b>
4.2 The teacher candidate (TC) provides evidence of research-based strategies or procedures to differentiate learning for all students. CAEP R1.1; InTASC 2; TGR 4	2.57	2.42	N/A	2.43	3.00	2.67	N/A	N/A	2.00	<b>2.52</b>

4.3 teacher candidate (TC) describes how technology is used to facilitate, create, track, analyze, and communicate student learning (learning management systems, interactive websites, virtual learning, videoconferencing, digital learning, interactive tutorials collaboration, including the use of networks of instruction, etc.). The TC describes how the use of technology will facilitate higher level skills such as analyzing, synthesizing, and evaluating. CAEP R1.3, R2.3; InTASC 8; TGR 6; ISTE 5, 6, 7	2.68	2.50	N/A	2.82	3.00	2.67	N/A	N/A	2.50	<b>2.68</b>
4.4 The teacher candidate (TC) describes how technology is used by students to research, create, communicate, and present. The TC explains how students used technology to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate. CAEP R1.3, R2.3; InTASC 8; TGR 6; ISTE 6	2.79	2.50	N/A	2.43	3.00	2.83	N/A	N/A	1.50	<b>2.63</b>
4.5 teacher candidate (TC) describes the plan for communicating with parents/ guardians about unit/lesson information, explains how individual student progress was shared with parents/guardians, and provides evidence of parent/guardian communication. CAEP R1.1, R1.4; InTASC 9,10; TGR 9; ISTE 7	2.68	2.50	N/A	2.39	3.00	2.00	N/A	N/A	2.50	<b>2.52</b>
5.1 The teacher candidate (TC) describes and provides specific examples of student behaviors, questions, and/or responses that justifies the instructional modification/s. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 2	2.82	2.50	N/A	2.46	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.00	<b>2.60</b>
5.2 teacher candidate (TC) describes how formative assessment data are analyzed and used to make modifications to differentiate instruction to accommodate differences in developmental and/or educational needs of students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.79	2.42	N/A	2.64	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.00	<b>2.61</b>

6.1 teacher candidate (TC) analyzes student data from the assessment data table and provides an analysis of the data as to mastery attained for the whole class, group characteristic of subgroups with a rationale for the selection of this characteristic, and at least two students who demonstrated different levels of performance with sample of student work. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.82	2.67	N/A	2.21	3.00	1.83	N/A	N/A	2.00	2.55
6.2 teacher candidate (TC) uses pre- and post-assessment data to describe and draw conclusions about the impact on student learning including student learning gains in terms of numbers of students who achieved, made progress, or failed to master. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.82	2.67	N/A	2.07	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.00	2.55
7.1 teacher candidate (TC) selects objective/s for which students were most successful and discusses factors including the purpose/s, objectives, instruction, assessments, student characteristics, and other contextual factors during. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.82	2.42	N/A	2.39	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.59
7.2 teacher candidate (TC) selects objective/s for which students were the least successful and discusses factors that might have had an impact on student learning. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.82	2.25	N/A	2.39	3.00	2.17	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.55
7.3 teacher candidate (TC) discusses ideas for redesigning learning goals, objectives, instruction, and/or assessments in future teaching AND provides a rationale explaining why the modifications will improve student learning. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.82	2.42	N/A	2.57	3.00	1.83	N/A	N/A	2.50	2.59
7.4 teacher candidate (TC) discusses two professional learning goals that emerged from the implementation and review of the unit/group of lessons and identified specific steps including professional development to improve teaching and planning in these areas. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.86	2.50	N/A	2.57	3.00	1.50	N/A	N/A	2.00	2.58
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>2.53</b>		<b>2.48</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>2.28</b>			<b>2.20</b>	

Spring 2023 Completion of Internship/Clinical Practice Impact on Student Learning (ISL)										
	Elem Campus	Elem Hinds	English	MAT	Math	Music	Sci (Bio/Chem)	Social Studies	Physical Education	Average
	N=7	N=14	N=4	N=30	N=0	N=1	N=0	N=1	N=2	
1.1 The teacher candidate (TC) discusses the following information about the community and school: Geographic location; Community/school population; Socio-economic status; and Type of school and other pertinent characteristics) CAEP R1.1; InTASC 2; TGR 7	2.43	2.46	2.92	2.53	N/A	2.50	N/A	3.00	2.50	<b>2.54</b>
1.2 The teacher candidate (TC) describes classroom factors including physical features, technology resources, parental/guardian involvement, and grouping practices (whole group, small group, pairs, etc.) CAEP R1.1, R1.3; InTASC 3; TGR 7	2.71	2.50	2.83	2.60	N/A	2.50	N/A	3.00	2.50	<b>2.61</b>
1.3 teacher candidate (TC) describes each of the following student characteristics that impact students and the learning environment including grade/age level, gender, race/ethnicity/culture, special needs, achievement levels, language, interests, and learning differences. CAEP R1.1; InTASC1; TGR 2	2.86	2.50	2.77	2.53	N/A	2.00	N/A	3.00	2.50	<b>2.58</b>
2.1 The teacher candidate (TC) identifies MCCRS/s that correlate with the unit or group of lessons topic and overall unit purposes/goals and describes and justifies the lesson plans learning purposes/goals. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 7; TGR 1	2.43	2.86	2.92	2.50	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.75	<b>2.62</b>
2.2 Daily objectives, aligned with MCCRS, connect to the real world and are appropriate for the students' development, prerequisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and/or other needs of students as indicated in the Contextual Factors. CAEP R1.1, R1.3; InTASC 1; TGR 2	2.93	2.79	2.83	2.55	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	<b>2.68</b>
3.1 teacher candidate (TC) provides an Assessment Plan Overview Table that includes varying daily assessments with Bloom's/DOK levels that match objectives and includes accommodations/modifications based on individual needs of student or contextual factors. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.29	2.64	2.71	2.50	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.52</b>

3.2 Pre-Assessment and Summative Assessment The teacher candidate (TC) provides descriptions of the pre- and post-assessments, noting when assessments will be administered, and criteria used to establish mastery. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.21	2.79	2.65	2.35	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	<b>2.44</b>
3.3 The teacher candidate (TC) describes the use of multiple methods and approaches for assessing student learning and provides a rationale for each assessment and an explanation of progress monitoring. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.64	2.71	2.65	2.37	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	<b>2.48</b>
3.4 teacher candidate (TC) provides an assessment data table that documents individual performance on a pre-assessment, 1-2 formative assessments, and a summative assessments. Mastery criteria for each assessment is included for all students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.36	2.71	2.71	2.60	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	<b>2.57</b>
3.5 teacher candidate (TC) describes a plan for communicating assessment expectations, results, and descriptive feedback that is timely and effective to all students. Plan submitted includes a method for learners to monitor their own progression through the unit. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	3.00	2.57	2.65	2.60	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.64</b>
4.1 teacher candidate (TC) analyzes pre-assessment data to determine accommodations /modifications to instruction with descriptions of the accommodations/modifications for the whole group, subgroups of students, or for individual students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 7; TGR 2	2.07	2.61	2.65	2.30	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.38</b>
4.2 The teacher candidate (TC) provides evidence of research-based strategies or procedures to differentiate learning for all students. CAEP R1.1; InTASC 2; TGR 4	2.07	2.54	2.71	2.37	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.75	<b>2.37</b>
4.3 teacher candidate (TC) describes how technology is used to facilitate, create, track, analyze, and communicate student learning (learning management systems, interactive websites, virtual learning, videoconferencing, digital learning, interactive tutorials collaboration, including the use of networks of instruction, etc.). The TC describes how the use of technology will facilitate higher level skills such as analyzing, synthesizing, and evaluating. CAEP R1.3, R2.3; InTASC 8; TGR 6; ISTE 5, 6, 7	2.43	2.61	2.71	2.57	N/A	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.56</b>

4.4 The teacher candidate (TC) describes how technology is used by students to research, create, communicate, and present. The TC explains how students used technology to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate. CAEP R1.3, R2.3; InTASC 8; TGR 6; ISTF 6	2.50	2.43	2.77	2.55	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.51</b>
4.5 teacher candidate (TC) describes the plan for communicating with parents/ guardians about unit/lesson information, explains how individual student progress was shared with parents/guardians, and provides evidence of parent/guardian communication. CAEP R1.1, R1.4; InTASC 9, 10; TGR 9; ISTF 7	2.79	2.57	2.71	2.62	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	<b>2.62</b>
5.1 The teacher candidate (TC) describes and provides specific examples of student behaviors, questions, and/or responses that justifies the instructional modification/s. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 2	2.64	2.50	2.83	2.47	N/A	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.51</b>
5.2 teacher candidate (TC) describes how formative assessment data are analyzed and used to make modifications to differentiate instruction to accommodate differences in developmental and/or educational needs of students. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.36	2.71	2.71	2.37	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.00	2.50	<b>2.46</b>
6.1 teacher candidate (TC) analyzes student data from the assessment data table and provides an analysis of the data as to mastery attained for the whole class, group characteristic of subgroups with a rationale for the selection of this characteristic, and at least two students who demonstrated different levels of performance with sample of student work. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	2.57	2.54	2.77	2.53	N/A	1.50	N/A	2.50	1.75	<b>2.51</b>
6.2 teacher candidate (TC) uses pre- and post-assessment data to describe and draw conclusions about the impact on student learning including student learning gains in terms of numbers of students who achieved, made progress, or failed to master. CAEP R1.3; InTASC 6; TGR 3	3.00	2.61	2.77	2.53	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.00	<b>2.61</b>
7.1 teacher candidate (TC) selects objective/s for which students were most successful and discusses factors including the purpose/s, objectives, instruction, assessments, student characteristics, and other contextual factors during. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.71	2.61	2.92	2.57	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.00	2.50	<b>2.61</b>

7.2 teacher candidate (TC) selects objective/s for which students were the least successful and discusses factors that might have had an impact on student learning. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.64	2.50	2.83	2.47	N/A	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	<b>2.53</b>
7.3 teacher candidate (TC) discusses ideas for redesigning learning goals, objectives, instruction, and/or assessments in future teaching AND provides a rationale explaining why the modifications will improve student learning. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.93	2.46	2.83	2.47	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.25	<b>2.53</b>
7.4 teacher candidate (TC) discusses two professional learning goals that emerged from the implementation and review of the unit/group of lessons and identified specific steps including professional development to improve teaching and planning in these areas. CAEP R1.4; InTASC 9; TGR 8	2.93	2.54	2.83	2.50	N/A	2.00	N/A	2.00	2.50	<b>2.56</b>
Average	<b>2.59</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>2.77</b>	<b>2.50</b>		<b>2.54</b>		<b>2.50</b>	<b>2.22</b>	<b>2.54</b>